





Bid Synopsis For Asphalt Removal and Replacement Services

RFQ Issued & Publicly Posted: 06/04/2024	Public Postings:
Solicitation #: RFQ-LC-24-14	Livingston Co. Website (livgov.com) BidNet (bidnetdirect.com/MITN)
Number of Timely Responses Received: 3	RFQ Due Date: 06/28/2024

The Evaluation Committee has completed evaluation of the bids received for the Request for Quotes (RFQ) referenced above. The purpose of this RFQ was to secure bids for asphalt removal and replacement services to replace the parking lots and driveway at the Livingston County Transportation Complex. Below is a recap of the RFQ process and evaluation.

The RFQ documents were publicly posted on two websites. In addition, the bid was directed to 11 known vendors. Three timely bid responses were received from the vendors below. All three vendors attended the mandatory pre-bid meeting and walk-through.

- Nagle Paving
- Rieth-Riley
- R&R Asphalt

Nagle Paving had good municipal references. They are a prequalified vendor with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT). One required form was not signed. Nagle submitted an all-inclusive price of \$273,890.

Rieth-Riley is a well-known company that appears to win a lot of municipal contracts. They are also a prequalified MDOT contractor. They submitted the high bid of \$383,908.

R&R Asphalt's submission was complete. They submitted the most informative proposal. While their references were for relevant work, there were no municipal/public references. R&R Asphalt submitted the low bid of \$242,000.

Because there were questions from all bidders regarding the need for undercutting, geotechnical (soil boring) data from a professional engineering firm was included in the bid. It is clear, however, that until the awarded contractor opens the area up and removes the existing asphalt, the amount of material required cannot be determined. We requested clarification from the low bidder on the cost of additional undercutting with 21AA crushed concrete, which they quoted at \$65.00 per ton. The evaluation committee recommends an additional 10% contingency in addition to the standard 10% contingency to account for unknown subgrade conditions, for a total contingency budget of \$48,400.







The Evaluation Committee accepted the pricing explanation and, therefore, it is their unanimous decision to recommend R&R Asphalt for award, pending Board of Commissioners approval.